wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report

Mar 9, 2026 – 05:44 AM UTC

PDB ID	:	2LRM / pdb_00002lrm
BMRB ID	:	18283
Title	:	Assignment and structure of E coli periplasmic protein YmgD
Authors	:	Wu, K.; Inouye, M.; Baum, J.; Hsu, S.; Masuda, H.
Deposited on	:	2012-04-07

This is a wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at *validation@mail.wwpdb.org*
A user guide is available at
https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp
with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at
http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity	:	4-5-2 with Phenix2.0
Percentile statistics	:	20250101.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive January 1st 2025)
wwPDB-RCI	:	v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV	:	Wang et al. (2010)
wwPDB-ShiftChecker	:	v1.2
BMRB Restraints Analysis	:	v1.2
Ideal geometry (proteins)	:	Engh & Huber (2001)
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA)	:	Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP)	:	2.49

1 Overall quality at a glance

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:
SOLUTION NMR

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 44%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive (#Entries)	NMR archive (#Entries)
Clashscore	229148	14424
Ramachandran outliers	224038	12848
Sidechain outliers	223484	12823

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	A	90	76% 10% • 7% 7%
1	B	90	77% 11% 6% 7%

2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 20 models. Model 8 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues			
Well-defined core	**Residue range (total)**	**Backbone RMSD (Å)**	**Medoid model**
1	A:10-A:87, B:9-B:87 (157)	0.84	8

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 4 clusters and 1 single-model cluster was found.

Cluster number	Models
1	1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 14, 15, 17, 18, 20
2	4, 5, 19
3	11, 16
4	10, 12
Single-model clusters	13

3 Entry composition (i)

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 2518 atoms, of which 1276 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

- Molecule 1 is a protein called Uncharacterized protein ymgD.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms						Trace
1	A	84	Total	C	H	N	O	S	0
			1259	382	638	105	128	6	
1	B	84	Total	C	H	N	O	S	0
			1259	382	638	105	128	6	

4 Residue-property plots

4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

• Molecule 1: Uncharacterized protein ymgD

Chain A:





• Molecule 1: Uncharacterized protein ymgD

Chain B:





4.2 Residue scores for the representative (medoid) model from the NMR ensemble

The representative model is number 8. Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

• Molecule 1: Uncharacterized protein ymgD

Chain A:





• Molecule 1: Uncharacterized protein ymgD

Chain B:





5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview

The models were refined using the following method: *simulated annealing, explicit solvent.*

Of the 200 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures with the lowest energy.*

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
Xplor-NIH	refinement	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	1034
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	959
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	75
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	44%

6 Model quality

6.1 Standard geometry

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

6.2 Too-close contacts

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	579	589	589	8±2
1	B	587	600	600	9±2
All	All	23320	23780	23780	301

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 6.

5 of 156 unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:B:17:CYS:SG	1:B:65:ASN:HA	0.75	2.21	17	6
1:B:60:VAL:O	1:B:64:LEU:HB2	0.71	1.86	19	11
1:A:33:GLU:OE1	1:B:54:PRO:HA	0.71	1.86	6	5
1:B:38:MET:SD	1:B:77:ILE:HD12	0.69	2.27	3	1
1:A:17:CYS:SG	1:A:65:ASN:HA	0.66	2.30	17	4

6.3 Torsion angles

6.3.1 Protein backbone

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR

entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles
1	A	78/90 (87%)	72±2 (92±2%)	6±2 (8±2%)	0±0 (0±0%)	49 83
1	B	79/90 (88%)	73±2 (92±3%)	6±2 (8±3%)	0±0 (0±0%)	49 83
All	All	3140/3600 (87%)	2887 (92%)	250 (8%)	3 (0%)	49 83

All 3 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	B	44	ALA	1
1	A	52	GLN	1
1	A	27	ASP	1

6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles
1	A	65/75 (87%)	61±1 (93±2%)	4±1 (7±2%)	17 65
1	B	66/75 (88%)	62±2 (94±2%)	4±2 (6±2%)	19 69
All	All	2620/3000 (87%)	2455 (94%)	165 (6%)	18 67

5 of 55 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	40	ASN	18
1	B	40	ASN	12
1	A	17	CYS	11
1	A	66	GLN	11
1	B	66	GLN	8

6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no oligosaccharides in this entry.

6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

There are no ligands in this entry.

6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.

7 Chemical shift validation

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 44% for the well-defined parts and 44% for the entire structure.

7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *assigned_chem_shift_list_1*

7.1.1 Bookkeeping

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	1034
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	959
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	75
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	2

The following assigned chemical shifts were not mapped to the molecules present in the coordinate file.

- No matching atom found in the structure. First 5 (of 75) occurrences are reported below.

List ID	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift Data		
					Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity
1	A	1	GLN	HA	4.267	0.000	1
1	A	1	GLN	HB2	1.947	0.000	2
1	A	1	GLN	HB3	1.947	0.000	2
1	A	1	GLN	HE22	6.787	0.000	2
1	A	1	GLN	HG2	2.347	0.000	2
1	A	1	GLN	HG3	2.347	0.000	2
1	A	1	GLN	C	177.162	0.000	1
1	A	1	GLN	CA	59.52	0.108	1
1	A	1	GLN	CB	27.8	0.053	1
1	A	1	GLN	CG	31.936	0.000	1
1	A	1	GLN	NE2	109.976	0.000	1
1	A	2	ASP	H	8.324	0.004	1
1	A	2	ASP	HA	4.574	0.013	1
1	A	2	ASP	HB2	2.544	0.026	2

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

List ID	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift Data		
					Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity
1	A	2	ASP	HB3	2.544	0.026	2
1	A	2	ASP	C	175.354	0.059	1
1	A	2	ASP	CA	54.102	0.074	1
1	A	2	ASP	CB	41.053	0.056	1
1	A	2	ASP	N	120.838	0.116	1
1	A	3	TYR	H	8.14	0.003	1
1	A	3	TYR	HA	4.473	0.023	1
1	A	3	TYR	HB2	2.894	0.004	2
1	A	3	TYR	HB3	2.894	0.004	2
1	A	3	TYR	HD1	6.931	0.018	3
1	A	3	TYR	HD2	6.931	0.018	3
1	A	3	TYR	HE1	6.661	0.012	3
1	A	3	TYR	HE2	6.661	0.012	3
1	A	3	TYR	C	174.769	0.055	1
1	A	3	TYR	CA	57.671	0.039	1
1	A	3	TYR	CB	39.006	0.105	1
1	A	3	TYR	N	120.96	0.033	1
1	A	4	ASN	H	8.216	0.003	1
1	A	4	ASN	HA	4.706	0.024	1
1	A	4	ASN	HB2	2.747	0.019	2
1	A	4	ASN	HB3	2.747	0.019	2
1	A	4	ASN	HD21	7.634	0.013	2
1	A	4	ASN	HD22	6.797	0.000	2
1	A	4	ASN	C	175.662	0.000	1
1	A	4	ASN	CA	52.742	0.099	1
1	A	4	ASN	CB	39.74	0.076	1
1	A	4	ASN	N	122.518	0.030	1
1	A	4	ASN	ND2	112.626	0.003	1
1	A	5	ILE	H	8.598	0.011	1
1	A	5	ILE	HA	4.103	0.012	1
1	A	5	ILE	HB	1.865	0.028	1
1	A	5	ILE	HD11	0.838	0.003	4
1	A	5	ILE	HD12	0.838	0.003	4
1	A	5	ILE	HD13	0.838	0.003	4
1	A	5	ILE	HG12	1.473	0.017	2
1	A	5	ILE	HG13	1.473	0.017	2
1	A	5	ILE	HG21	0.86	0.005	4
1	A	5	ILE	HG22	0.86	0.005	4
1	A	5	ILE	HG23	0.86	0.005	4
1	A	5	ILE	C	175.763	0.037	1
1	A	5	ILE	CA	62.056	0.090	1

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

List ID	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift Data		
					Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity
1	A	5	ILE	CB	38.547	0.031	1
1	A	5	ILE	CD1	14.403	0.128	1
1	A	5	ILE	CG1	28.524	0.145	1
1	A	5	ILE	CG2	17.875	0.127	1
1	A	5	ILE	N	123.297	0.019	1
1	A	6	LYS	H	8.053	0.008	1
1	A	6	LYS	HA	3.99	0.028	1
1	A	6	LYS	HB2	1.685	0.034	2
1	A	6	LYS	HB3	1.685	0.034	2
1	A	6	LYS	HE2	2.904	0.003	2
1	A	6	LYS	HE3	2.904	0.003	2
1	A	6	LYS	HG2	1.341	0.022	2
1	A	6	LYS	HG3	1.341	0.022	2
1	A	6	LYS	C	177.324	0.055	1
1	A	6	LYS	CA	58.282	0.042	1
1	A	6	LYS	CB	31.707	0.109	1
1	A	6	LYS	CD	29.125	0.000	1
1	A	6	LYS	CE	41.801	0.000	1
1	A	6	LYS	CG	25.003	0.000	1
1	A	6	LYS	N	121.049	0.049	1

7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	Correction ± precision, *ppm*	Suggested action
$^{13}C_{\alpha}$	85	-0.33 ± 0.22	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
$^{13}C_{\beta}$	84	0.30 ± 0.10	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
$^{13}C'$	84	-0.30 ± 0.13	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
^{15}N	86	0.47 ± 0.37	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)

7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 44%, i.e. 890 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 2038. 0 out of 25 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	^{1}H	^{13}C	^{15}N
Backbone	374/775 (48%)	154/310 (50%)	145/314 (46%)	75/151 (50%)

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

	Total	^{1}H	^{13}C	^{15}N
Sidechain	512/1245 (41%)	356/817 (44%)	149/392 (38%)	7/36 (19%)
Aromatic	4/18 (22%)	4/8 (50%)	0/10 (0%)	0/0 (—%)
Overall	890/2038 (44%)	514/1135 (45%)	294/716 (41%)	82/187 (44%)

7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules containing paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.

List Id	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, *ppm*	Expected range, *ppm*	Z-score
1	A	52	GLN	NE2	125.33	103.38 – 120.35	7.9
1	A	73	GLN	CB	39.29	20.34 – 37.98	5.7

7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition.If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:



8 NMR restraints analysis

8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	2133
Intra-residue (|i-j|=0)	519
Sequential (|i-j|=1)	752
Medium range (|i-j|>1 and |i-j|<5)	632
Long range (|i-j|≥5)	188
Inter-chain	42
Hydrogen bond restraints	0
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	308
Number of unmapped restraints	120
Number of restraints per residue	13.6
Number of long range restraints per residue[1]	1.0

[1]Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

8.2 Residual restraint violations

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å
are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	33.3	0.2
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	18.9	0.5
>0.5 (Large)	2.3	1.26

8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model (i)

Dihedral-angle violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Bins (°)	Average number of violations per model	Max (°)
1.0-10.0 (Small)	10.9	6.95
10.0-20.0 (Medium)	None	None
>20.0 (Large)	None	None

9 Distance violation analysis (i)

9.1 Summary of distance violations (i)

The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Restraints type	Count	%[1]	Violated[3]			Consistently Violated[4]		
			Count	%[2]	%[1]	Count	%[2]	%[1]
Intra-residue (|i-j|=0)	519	24.3	6	1.2	0.3	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	136	6.4	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	347	16.3	6	1.7	0.3	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	36	1.7	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sequential (|i-j|=1)	752	35.3	30	4.0	1.4	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	396	18.6	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	348	16.3	27	7.8	1.3	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	8	0.4	3	37.5	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
Medium range (|i-j|>1 & |i-j|<5)	632	29.6	95	15.0	4.5	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	312	14.6	18	5.8	0.8	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	294	13.8	69	23.5	3.2	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	26	1.2	8	30.8	0.4	0	0.0	0.0
Long range (|i-j|≥5)	188	8.8	64	34.0	3.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	20	0.9	8	40.0	0.4	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	112	5.3	38	33.9	1.8	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	56	2.6	18	32.1	0.8	0	0.0	0.0
Inter-chain	42	2.0	18	42.9	0.8	1	2.4	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	2	0.1	2	100.0	0.1	1	50.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	24	1.1	12	50.0	0.6	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	16	0.8	4	25.0	0.2	0	0.0	0.0
Hydrogen bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	2133	100.0	213	10.0	10.0	1	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	866	40.6	28	3.2	1.3	1	0.1	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	1125	52.7	152	13.5	7.1	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	142	6.7	33	23.2	1.5	0	0.0	0.0

[1] percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints, [2] percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category, [3] violated in at least one model, [4] violated in all the models

9.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of distance restraints and violations



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfied bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model

The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1 Å
are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	Number of violations						Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	SD[6] (Å)	Median (Å)
	IR[1]	SQ[2]	MR[3]	LR[4]	IC[5]	Total				
1	3	8	24	17	8	60	0.2	0.86	0.14	0.16
2	4	7	28	17	7	63	0.2	0.88	0.12	0.17
3	4	10	20	15	6	55	0.22	0.73	0.13	0.18
4	2	8	24	14	8	56	0.22	0.86	0.14	0.18
5	1	10	23	19	6	59	0.21	1.07	0.15	0.17
6	2	9	22	12	5	50	0.2	0.55	0.1	0.16
7	3	15	28	10	8	64	0.21	0.85	0.14	0.15
8	4	12	26	10	7	59	0.22	1.26	0.18	0.17
9	1	10	21	15	5	52	0.22	0.85	0.14	0.18
10	1	12	28	19	6	66	0.23	0.83	0.16	0.18

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Model ID	Number of violations						Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	SD[6] (Å)	Median (Å)
	IR[1]	SQ[2]	MR[3]	LR[4]	IC[5]	Total				
11	2	8	22	15	5	52	0.24	1.0	0.17	0.2
12	4	12	21	15	5	57	0.24	1.12	0.18	0.19
13	3	11	19	11	8	52	0.24	1.19	0.21	0.18
14	1	13	22	12	7	55	0.21	0.83	0.12	0.19
15	2	10	25	10	8	55	0.2	0.63	0.12	0.15
16	3	5	30	15	9	62	0.2	0.57	0.09	0.18
17	2	11	24	16	5	58	0.23	1.22	0.19	0.17
18	0	12	22	17	7	58	0.22	1.02	0.13	0.18
19	2	8	27	10	10	57	0.24	1.03	0.17	0.19
20	2	15	13	17	4	51	0.21	1.06	0.14	0.17

[1]Intra-residue restraints, [2]Sequential restraints, [3]Medium range restraints, [4]Long range restraints, [5]Inter-chain restraints, [6]Standard deviation

9.2.1 Bar graph : Distance Violation statistics for each model



The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 1920(IR:513, SQ:722, MR:537, LR:124, IC:24) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Number of violated restraints						Fraction of the ensemble	
IR[1]	SQ[2]	MR[3]	LR[4]	IC[5]	Total	Count[6]	%
0	6	26	18	4	54	1	5.0
0	1	17	12	3	33	2	10.0
1	2	7	9	0	19	3	15.0
0	2	7	5	0	14	4	20.0
2	2	5	3	1	13	5	25.0
0	2	6	2	0	10	6	30.0
0	3	5	3	2	13	7	35.0
0	3	1	1	2	7	8	40.0
1	1	3	3	0	8	9	45.0
0	1	2	0	0	3	10	50.0
0	1	4	1	2	8	11	55.0
2	1	5	1	0	9	12	60.0
0	0	2	1	1	4	13	65.0
0	1	2	1	0	4	14	70.0
0	3	1	1	0	5	15	75.0
0	1	0	2	1	4	16	80.0
0	0	0	1	0	1	17	85.0
0	0	2	0	1	3	18	90.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	19	95.0
0	0	0	0	1	1	20	100.0

[1]Intra-residue restraints, [2]Sequential restraints, [3]Medium range restraints, [4]Long range restraints, [5]Inter-chain restraints, [6] Number of models with violations

9.3.1 Bar graph : Distance violation statistics for the ensemble



9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble

9.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean distance violations

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble



9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Models[1]	Mean (Å)	SD[1] (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,2128)	1:30:B:GLN:H	1:54:A:PRO:HA	20	0.25	0.07	0.24
(1,1489)	1:46:B:ARG:H	1:50:B:ILE:HB	18	0.27	0.06	0.26
(1,2109)	1:30:A:GLN:H	1:54:B:PRO:HA	18	0.26	0.06	0.26
(1,441)	1:46:A:ARG:H	1:50:A:ILE:HB	18	0.26	0.1	0.24
(1,1826)	1:83:B:THR:H	1:64:B:LEU:HB2	17	0.18	0.04	0.18
(1,1341)	1:33:B:GLU:H	1:34:B:ILE:HG12	16	0.27	0.05	0.26
(1,1341)	1:33:B:GLU:H	1:34:B:ILE:HG13	16	0.27	0.05	0.26
(1,1400)	1:38:B:MET:H	1:77:B:ILE:HD11	16	0.24	0.09	0.24
(1,1400)	1:38:B:MET:H	1:77:B:ILE:HD12	16	0.24	0.09	0.24
(1,1400)	1:38:B:MET:H	1:77:B:ILE:HD13	16	0.24	0.09	0.24
(1,2100)	1:37:A:VAL:HG21	1:38:B:MET:HB2	16	0.18	0.06	0.17
(1,2100)	1:37:A:VAL:HG21	1:38:B:MET:HB3	16	0.18	0.06	0.17
(1,2100)	1:37:A:VAL:HG22	1:38:B:MET:HB2	16	0.18	0.06	0.17
(1,2100)	1:37:A:VAL:HG22	1:38:B:MET:HB3	16	0.18	0.06	0.17
(1,2100)	1:37:A:VAL:HG23	1:38:B:MET:HB2	16	0.18	0.06	0.17
(1,2100)	1:37:A:VAL:HG23	1:38:B:MET:HB3	16	0.18	0.06	0.17

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Models[1]	Mean (Å)	SD[1] (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,778)	1:83:A:THR:H	1:64:A:LEU:HB2	16	0.17	0.05	0.17
(1,421)	1:45:A:SER:H	1:46:A:ARG:HH21	15	0.72	0.27	0.7

[1]Number of violated models, [2]Standard deviation

9.5 All violated distance restraints (i)

9.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



9.5.2 Table : All distance violations (i)

The following table provides the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,1469)	1:45:B:SER:H	1:46:B:ARG:HH21	8	1.26
(1,1469)	1:45:B:SER:H	1:46:B:ARG:HH21	17	1.22
(1,421)	1:45:A:SER:H	1:46:A:ARG:HH21	13	1.19

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,1469)	1:45:B:SER:H	1:46:B:ARG:HH21	13	1.15
(1,1469)	1:45:B:SER:H	1:46:B:ARG:HH21	12	1.12
(1,421)	1:45:A:SER:H	1:46:A:ARG:HH21	5	1.07
(1,421)	1:45:A:SER:H	1:46:A:ARG:HH21	20	1.06
(1,1469)	1:45:B:SER:H	1:46:B:ARG:HH21	19	1.03
(1,1469)	1:45:B:SER:H	1:46:B:ARG:HH21	18	1.02
(1,67)	1:11:A:SER:H	1:13:A:THR:HG1	11	1.0

10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis

10.1 Summary of dihedral-angle violations

The following table provides the summary of dihedral-angle violations in different dihedral-angle types. Violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Angle type	Count	%[1]	Violated[3]			Consistently Violated[4]		
			Count	%[2]	%[1]	Count	%[2]	%[1]
PHI	156	50.6	31	19.9	10.1	0	0.0	0.0
PSI	152	49.4	43	28.3	14.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	308	100.0	74	24.0	24.0	0	0.0	0.0

[1] percentage calculated with respect to total number of dihedral-angle restraints, [2] percentage calculated with respect to number of restraints in a particular dihedral-angle type, [3] violated in at least one model, [4] violated in all the models

10.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of dihedral-angles and violations



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories

10.2 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 1° are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	Number of violations			Mean (°)	Max (°)	SD (°)	Median (°)
	PHI	PSI	Total				
1	3	10	13	1.8	3.83	0.81	1.45
2	7	5	12	1.69	2.57	0.5	1.62
3	4	7	11	1.9	3.74	0.7	1.63
4	6	11	17	1.79	3.34	0.6	1.61
5	6	9	15	2.69	6.93	1.81	2.02
6	3	7	10	1.68	2.18	0.4	1.65
7	4	6	10	1.85	5.71	1.35	1.37
8	3	6	9	1.44	2.7	0.51	1.23
9	4	6	10	1.83	2.64	0.53	1.86
10	3	11	14	2.06	4.13	0.94	1.78
11	5	8	13	1.92	3.02	0.74	1.64
12	3	8	11	1.85	3.3	0.64	1.8
13	6	7	13	2.12	3.57	0.64	1.83
14	6	10	16	2.65	6.95	1.62	2.1
15	2	3	5	1.98	2.36	0.22	1.84
16	3	8	11	1.94	3.69	0.95	1.53
17	1	8	9	1.4	2.0	0.34	1.35
18	1	3	4	1.67	2.81	0.7	1.4
19	3	6	9	1.68	2.46	0.46	1.79
20	4	4	8	2.37	5.8	1.37	1.92

10.2.1 Bar graph : Dihedral violation statistics for each model



The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

10.3 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for the ensemble

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in very few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of ensemble.

Number of violated restraints			Fraction of the ensemble	
PHI	PSI	Total	Count[1]	%
16	20	36	1	5.0
3	6	9	2	10.0
6	4	10	3	15.0
2	2	4	4	20.0
0	1	1	5	25.0
2	2	4	6	30.0
1	2	3	7	35.0
0	3	3	8	40.0
0	1	1	9	45.0
1	0	1	10	50.0
0	0	0	11	55.0

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Number of violated restraints			Fraction of the ensemble	
PHI	PSI	Total	Count[1]	%
0	0	0	12	60.0
0	1	1	13	65.0
0	1	1	14	70.0
0	0	0	15	75.0
0	0	0	16	80.0
0	0	0	17	85.0
0	0	0	18	90.0
0	0	0	19	95.0
0	0	0	20	100.0

[1] Number of models with violations

10.3.1 Bar graph : Dihedral-angle Violation statistics for the ensemble



10.4 Most violated dihedral-angle restraints in the ensemble

10.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean dihedral-angle violations

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models

in the ensemble



10.4.2 Table: Most violated dihedral-angle restraints

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	Models[1]	Mean	SD[2]	Median
(1,260)	1:60:B:VAL:N	1:60:B:VAL:CA	1:60:B:VAL:C	1:61:B:VAL:N	14	1.65	0.54	1.6
(1,235)	1:44:B:ALA:N	1:44:B:ALA:CA	1:44:B:ALA:C	1:45:B:SER:N	13	2.21	0.91	2.05
(1,238)	1:45:B:SER:C	1:46:B:ARG:N	1:46:B:ARG:CA	1:46:B:ARG:C	10	1.86	0.67	1.76
(1,106)	1:60:A:VAL:N	1:60:A:VAL:CA	1:60:A:VAL:C	1:61:A:VAL:N	9	1.87	0.86	1.66
(1,81)	1:44:A:ALA:N	1:44:A:ALA:CA	1:44:A:ALA:C	1:45:A:SER:N	8	1.6	0.43	1.69
(1,205)	1:29:B:ALA:N	1:29:B:ALA:CA	1:29:B:ALA:C	1:30:B:GLN:N	8	1.56	0.37	1.44
(1,51)	1:29:A:ALA:N	1:29:A:ALA:CA	1:29:A:ALA:C	1:30:A:GLN:N	8	1.36	0.21	1.37
(1,59)	1:33:A:GLU:N	1:33:A:GLU:CA	1:33:A:GLU:C	1:34:A:ILE:N	7	2.44	1.03	2.22
(1,84)	1:45:A:SER:C	1:46:A:ARG:N	1:46:A:ARG:CA	1:46:A:ARG:C	7	2.02	0.57	1.79
(1,24)	1:15:A:ILE:N	1:15:A:ILE:CA	1:15:A:ILE:C	1:16:A:THR:N	7	1.66	0.38	1.72

[1] Number of violated models, [2]Standard deviation, All angle values are in degree (°)

10.5 All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

10.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



10.5.2 Table: All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table provides the list of violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	Model ID	Violation (°)
(1,115)	1:64:A:LEU:C	1:65:A:ASN:N	1:65:A:ASN:CA	1:65:A:ASN:C	14	6.95
(1,109)	1:61:A:VAL:C	1:62:A:GLU:N	1:62:A:GLU:CA	1:62:A:GLU:C	5	6.93
(1,85)	1:46:A:ARG:N	1:46:A:ARG:CA	1:46:A:ARG:C	1:47:A:ASP:N	20	5.8
(1,58)	1:32:A:ALA:C	1:33:A:GLU:N	1:33:A:GLU:CA	1:33:A:GLU:C	5	5.71
(1,35)	1:21:A:ASN:N	1:21:A:ASN:CA	1:21:A:ASN:C	1:22:A:GLU:N	7	5.71
(1,255)	1:57:B:SER:C	1:58:B:ALA:N	1:58:B:ALA:CA	1:58:B:ALA:C	5	5.4
(1,114)	1:64:A:LEU:N	1:64:A:LEU:CA	1:64:A:LEU:C	1:65:A:ASN:N	14	5.28
(1,59)	1:33:A:GLU:N	1:33:A:GLU:CA	1:33:A:GLU:C	1:34:A:ILE:N	14	4.66
(1,263)	1:61:B:VAL:C	1:62:B:GLU:N	1:62:B:GLU:CA	1:62:B:GLU:C	10	4.13
(1,235)	1:44:B:ALA:N	1:44:B:ALA:CA	1:44:B:ALA:C	1:45:B:SER:N	10	3.89